TRIALS TO IMPROVE THE IMMUNE RESPONSE OF LIVE NEWCASTLE DISEASE VACCINE

S. K. Tolba, Abo Zeid A.A., Abd El-Wanis N. A. and Madkour M.S.

Voterinary Scrum and vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo.

ABSTRACT

Three experiments were designed to study the effect of addition of both DEAE dextran and casein hydrohysate in different concentrations to the live Newcastle disease (ND) vaccine each alone before hyophilization and as a constituent of the vaccinal diluent during vaccination. Also, their effect on the virus propagation in embryomated chicken eggs (ECEs) were studied. The obtained results revealed that the addition of 100µg/ml DEAE dextran to the vaccinal diluent gave the higher HI titre and 100% protection after challenge 4 weeks post vaccination followed by the group given 50 µg/ml, in case of addition of 1 and 2% casein hydrohysate before hyphilization of ND vaccine give the higher haemagglutination inhibition (HI) titre after 4 weeks post vaccination and 100% protection. The results of injection of the same concentration of both substances revealed their effect on the virus propagation in the ECEs.

INTRODUCTION

The distribution of Newcastle disease is dependent on the attempts for eradication and control made to different countries. In spite of the widespread of Newcastle disease (ND) vaccines, there are a large number of outbreaks of the disease. Outbreaks occurred in birds that had been vaccinated at least once, and in many cases the birds had received two or more live virus vaccination. The immune response is influenced by a large number of genetic and environmental factors for example heavily parasitized, maintourishment and stress factors which will inhibit the normal immune response. In the field, the failure of the birds to withstand exposure to ND may be due to inadequate amounts of virus in the vaccines. In some instances, low titre of the vaccine may be due to the mishandling. Villasenor (1964) found a 3.0 log drop in virus titre when the reconstituted vaccines were held at 30°C for one hour, a temperature that can be readily reached within a poultry house in tropical and subtropical countries. In the present study, two

S. K. Tolba et al.... 74

substances (DEAE dextran and casein hydrolysate) were subjected to study of their effect on the immunogenicity of live ND vaccine.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Viruses:

Newcastle disease vaccine:

LaSota strain (supplied by the Central Veterinary Laboratory, Weybridge, England) was used in the preparation of ND vaccine used in this study its titre was ranged from 109-1011EID₅₀/ml.

Newcastle disease virulent virus:

Velogenic viscorotropic NDV was locally isolated and identified by **Sheble and Reda (1978)** and it was used for challenge test (I/M injection) (0.5ml/chick) containing 10⁶ EID₅₀/ml and the chicks were observed for 15 days. Chicks died during this period were collected and examined for characteristic lesions of ND virus.

Embryonated Chicken Eggs (ECEs):

9-11 days old embryonated chicken eggs obtained from United Company for Poultry Production were used in titration and safety.

Experimental birds:

Four hundred, one day old Hubbard chicks were obtained from the United Company for Poultry Production. The chicks were reared in isolated disinfected wire floor cages and fed on a complete balanced rations till 4 weeks old (where the maternal immunity weaned). The chicks were divided into 20 groups.

Casein hydrolysate (acid):

Unipath LTD, BASTNGSTOKE, HAMPSHIRE, England.

Typical analysis (90 w/w)

Total nitrogen...... 7.6

Amino nitrogen..... 4.9

Sodium chloride...... 28.3

Tryptophan..... chicken 0.1 pH (1% solution) 7.0

It was used in media for vaccine production and vaccination in concentration of 1, 2, 3% (w/v).

S. K. Tolba et al.... 75

DEAE dextran HCl:

Diethylamine ethyl dextran M.W. 500,000 Pharmacia Fine Chemical, Sweden.

According to Anderson et al. (1971) a solution of it was prepared in 0.25 M Tris HCl buffer of pH 3.2. It was autoclaved and its pH adjusted to 7.6 - 7.8. It was kept at room temperature until used. It was used in concentration of 25, 50, 75, 100 and 200 ug/ml inoculum.

Newcastle disease Virus titration in embryonated chicken eggs:

It was carried out according to Anon (1971). The EID_{50} was calculated by the method of Reed and Muench (1938).

Hacmagglutination Inhibition (HI) test:

The test was carried out according to the standard method described by Majujable and Hitchner (1977).

Experimental design:

Three experiments were conducted in this study.

First experiment:

DEAE dextran were used in different concentration (25, 50, 75, 100 and 200 ug/ml) of the vaccine diluent and casein hydrolysate in concentration of 1, 2 and 3 percent) also to the vaccine diluent. Eight group of chicks (each 20 birds) were vaccinated with ND vaccine containing these concentration. Two groups were kept as negative (non vaccinated) and positive control (vaccinated with the ordinary vaccine by eye drop method).

Second experiment:

The same above mentioned concentration of both DEAE dextran and casein hydrolysate were added to the prepared vaccinal fluid (before lyophilization) then 8 groups of chicks were vaccinated with this prepared ND vaccine (2 groups were kept as positive and negative control). Challenge was carried out 4 weeks later for the 2 experiments.

Third experiment:

To estimate the effect of DEAE dextran on the ECEs, five ECEs were inoculated by 0.2ml of different DEAE dextran concentration (25, 50, 75, 100 and 200 ug/ml).

Titrations were carried out to the NDV vaccine after the addition of 25, 50, 75, 100 and 200 ug/ml DEAE dextran as well as after the addition of 1, 2 and 3% of cascin hydrolysate to study the effect of both substances on the virus titre.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result of addition of the different concentration of DEAE dextran and case hydrolysate to the vaccinal diluent (experiment 1) revealed clearly that the addition of 100 μ g DEAE dextran gave the higher HI titre after 4 weeks post vaccination reached (11) \log_2 HI titre followed by the addition of 50 μ g gave (9.2) \log_2 HI titre 4 weeks post vaccination, as well as the 2 concentration gave 100% protection. While positive control gave (3.2) \log_2 HI titre and 85% protection.

While the addition of casein hydrolysate in concentration 1.2, 3% gave the higher HI titre mainly I week post vaccination reached (8.4) log₂ HI titre and 100% protection 4 weeks post vaccination.

In concern to the second experiment its results showed that the addition of DEAE dextran in concentration (25, 50 and 75µg/ml) to the vaccine before lyophilization cause a higher HI titre 1 week post vaccination reached (9.2, 8.6 and 9), respectively reached to the maximum HI titre (11) by the 3rd week post vaccination, in case of addition of (50 and 75µg/ml) DEAE dextran and 100% protection percent.

These results are in agreement to that obtained by Mansour (1995) and was explained by Wittman et al. (1970) who suggested that the adjuvant activity of DEAE dextran could be attributed to a membrane effect on the immuno-competent cells to become antibody producer. The addition of the case in hydrolysate by the 3 concentration (1, 2 and 3%) raised the HI titre from the 1st week till the 4th week post vaccination and gave 100% protection in case of addition of 2 and 3%. Sergio and Benjamin (1971) suggested that the buffers can containing case in hydrolysate protected NDV against thermo inactivation at 25, 37 and 45°C for periods up to 60 infinites thus case in hydrolysate in the vaccine diluent appears to be desirable to protect the vaccine from adverse field conditions.

The results of experiment (3) showed that the addition of 25µg/ml DEAE dextran to the virus inoculum before inoculation of ECEs increase the virus titre (2.8) log while the addition of 200 µg/ml raised the titre by 1.5 log in comparison to the control. Similar results were obtained with Taha (1984) who used DEAE dextran at a concentration of 20µg/ml to increase the resulting Rift Valley Fever virus titre. The addition of the caseIn hydrolysate in concentration (1 and 2%) increase the virus titre by (1.6 and 1.4) log respectively in comparison to positive control.

Table 1: Mean log₂ of Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI) titre and challenge results of the chick groups vaccinated by ND vaccine plus different concentrations of DEAE dextran in the vaccinal diluent.

Virus form	I	Mean log	2 HI titre		Challe		
	1 week	2 weeks	3 weeks	4 weeks	No. of chicks	No. of live chicks	Protection %
1	8.8	9.6	8.8	9.6	20	18	90
2	3.8	9.4	3.8	9.4	20	20	100
3	6.2	9.6	6.2	9.6	20	18	90
4	6.8	8.5	6.8	8.5	20	20	100
5	9.4	7.8	9.4	7.8	20	20	100
Cont. +ve	7.2	9.6	7.2	9.6	20	17	85
Contve	2.3	20	2.3	2.0	20	4	20

. Not Done.

1st group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 25µg/ml DEAE dextran in its diluent. 2nd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 50µg/ml DEAE dextran in its diluent.

3rd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 75µg/ml DEAE dextran in its diluent.

4th group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 100µg/ml DEAE dextran in its diluent. 5th group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 200µg/ml DEAE dextran in its diluent.

Conl. +ve group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with the normal diluent (+ve control)

Cont. -ve group: Non vaccinated control.

Table 2: Mean log₂ of Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) titre and challenge results of the chick groups vaccinated by ND vaccine plus different concentrations of casein hydrolysate in the vaccinal diluent.

Virus form	ı	Mean log	2 HI titre	:	Challe		
	1 week	2 weeks	0 weeks	4 weeks	No. of chicks	No. of live chicks	Protection %
1	8.4	4.8	6.0	2.6	20	20	100
2	8.4	6.2	6.4	2.6	20	20	100
3	0.8	5.0	6.2	3.0	20	20	100
Cont. +ve	7.2	9.6	6.2	3.2	20	17	85
Contve	2.3	2.0	2.0	0.2	20	4	20

1st group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 1% w/v casein hydrolysate in its diluent.

2nd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 2% w/v casein hydrolysate in its diluent.

3rd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine with 3% w/v casein hydrolysate in its diffrant.

Cont. +ve group : Vaccinated by ND vaccine with the normal diluent (+ve control)

Cont. -ve group: Non vaccinated control.

Table 3: Mean log₂ of Iraemagglutination inhibition titre and challenge results of the groups of chickens vaccinated by ND live vaccine containing different concentrations of DEAE dextran.

Groups		Mean log	2 HI titre		Challenge results		
	1 week	2 weeks	3 weeks	4 weeks	No. of chicks	No. of live chicks	Protection %
1	9.2	6.6	7.2	5.8	20	18	90
2	8.6	8.6	11		20	20	100
3	9.0	9.6	11	-	20	20	100
4	7.2	6.2	7.2	5.8	20	20	100
5	7.0	9.2	8.5	6.0	20	20	100
Cont. +ve	6.6	8.6	7.8	7.0	20	18	90
Contve	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	20	0	0

[·] Not Done.

1st group: Vaccinated by live ND vaccine containing 25µg/ml DEAE dextran.

2nd group: Vaccinated by NO vaccine containing 50µg/ml DEAE dextran.

3rd group : Vaccinated by NO vaccine containing 75µg/ml DEAE dextran.

4th group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine containing 100µg/ml DEAE dextran. 5th group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine containing 200µg/ml DEAE dextran.

Cont. +ve group: Vaccinated by ND usual live ND vaccine.

Cont. -ve group: Non vaccinated control.

Table 4: Mean log2 of haemagglutination inhibition (HI) titre and challenge results of different groups of chickens vaccinated by ND live vaccine containing different concentrations of casein hydrolysate.

Virus form	1	Mean log	2 HI titre	, _	Challer		
	1 week	2 weeks	3 weeks	4 weeks	No. of chicks	No. of live chicks	Protection %
1	8.2	6.8	6.4	4.4	20	17	85
2	8.6	9.0	8.8	9.0	20	20	100
3	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.6	20	20	100
Cont. +ve	6.6	8.6	7,8	7.0	20	18	90
Contve	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	20	0	0

1st group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine containing 1% casein hydrolysale.

2nd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine containing 2% casein hydrolysate.

3rd group: Vaccinated by ND vaccine containing 3% casein hydrolysate.

Cont. +ve group: Vaccinated by usual live ND vaccine.

Cont. -ve group : Non vaccinated control.

Table 5: Titration results after addition of DEAE dextran in different concentration to the Newcastle disease virus inoculum.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Titre EID ₅₀		
25	11.8		
50	9.3		
75	0.0		
100	9.1		
200	10.5		
Control	9.0		

Table 6: Titration results after addition of casein hydrolysate in different concentration to the Newcastle disease virus Inoculum.

Concentration (%)	Titre EID ₅₀		
1	12.4		
2	12.6		
3	8.4		
Control	11.0		

REFERENCES

- Anderson, E. C.; Masters, R. C. and Mowat, G. N. (1971): Immune response of pigs to inactivated FMD vaccine. Res. Vet. Sci., 12:351-357.
- Anon (1971): Methods of examining poultry biologics and identifying and quantifying avian pathogens. Nat. Acad. Sci., Washington, D.C., Cited by Amer M.M.
- Majujable, K. A. and Hitchner, S. B. (1977): Antibody response to strain combination of NDV as measured by haemagglutination inhibition test. Avian Dis., 12: 576 584.
- Mansour, H. A. (1995): Studies on production and application of African Horse Sickness polyvalent inactivated freeze dried vaccine. Ph.D. Thesis, Virology, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Reed, L. J. and Muench, H. (1938): A simple method of estimating fifty percent end points.

 Am. J. Hyg., 27: 493-497.
- Sergio, B. and Benjamin, L. (1971): Effect of magnesium sulfate and casein hydrolysate on thermo inactivation of NDV vaccine. Avian Dis., 724 728.
- Sheble, A. and Reda, M. I. (1978): Recently isolated virulent strain of NDV. Cited by Khafagy, A.K. (1972). Thesis M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo University.
- Taha, M. M. (1984): Studies on inactivated vaccine of Rift Valley Fever Virus. Ph.D. Thesis, Microbiology, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo Univ.
- Villasenor Michel, J. A. (1964): Viabilidad del virus vacunal de ND en condiciones de granja. Thesis Profesional. Escuela Nacional de Medicina Vet. Zooteenia. Universided Nacional Autonoma de Mexio, 1964.
- Wittman, G.; Bauer, K. and Mussgay (1970): Inaktivierten virus und DEAE dextran adjuvant. Arch Gesamt virus Forschm, 29, 134 162.

اللخص العربي

محاولات لتحسين القوة المناعية للقاح النيوكاسل الحي

المشتركون في البحث

د/ سوزان كامل طلبه التحيوي و د/ أبوزيد أحمد أبوزيد

د/ نبيل عدلي عبدالونيس و د/ محمد سيد مدكور

كلية الطب البطري جامعة المنصورة

فى محاولة لتحسين الكفاءة المناعية للقاح النيوكاسل الحى تم إضافة مادتين عما الكازيين والديكستران إلى لقاح النيوكاسل مرة قبل التجفيف وأخرى فى مذيب اللقاح أثناء التحصين فى تجربتين منفصلتين. ففى التجربة الأولى تم إضافة مادة الديكستران بنسب ٢٥، ٥٠، ٧٥، ١٠٠، ٢٠ ميكرون لكل سم القاح ومادة الكازيين بنسبة ١، ٢، ٣٪ على مذيب اللقاح أثناء التحصين. وفى التجربة الثانية تم إضافة نفس النسب ولكن على اللقاح أثناء التحضير وقبل التجفيف. ولكل من التجربتين تم تحصين مجموعات من الكتاكيت عمر ٤ أسابيع وتم قياس الأجسام المناعية باختبار (Fil) إسبوعياً وتم إجراء إختبار التحدى عند أربعة أسابيع.

وقد وجد أن المجموعة التى حصنت بلقاح النيوكاسل الحى عترة (لاسوتا) إضافة إلى الدبكستران بنسبة ١٠٠ ميكرون لكل سم٢ مذبب قد أعطت أعلى مستوى من الأجسام المناعية فى الإسبوع الرابع بلغ متوسط لوغاريتم ١٠ تلتها المجموعة التى أضيف لها ٥٠ ميكرون لكل سم٣ مذيب وبلغت متوسط لوغاريتم ١٠٩ وبلغت نسبة الحماية لكل منهما ١٠٪ بينما بلغت المجموعتان التى أضيف لها الكازيين أثناء تحضير اللقاح بنسب ١٠٪ أعلى متوسط لوغاربتم عند الإسبوع الرأبع وكان ٩٠٣ ملى التوالى بغارق ٢ لوغاريتم عن اللقاح العادى. كذلك تم دراسة تأثير تلك المادتين على عيارية الفيروس فى البيض المخصب وقد وجدت أنها ارتفعت بمقدار ٨ر٢ لوغاريتم فى حالة إضافة ٢٥ ميكرون من الديكستران أثناء حقن البيض و ١٥ لوغارتيم عند إضافة ٠٠٠ ميكرون مقارنة بالمجموعة الضابطة . كما زادت عيارية فيروس النيوكاسل بنسبة ٢٥ - ١٤ عند إضافة الكازيين بنسب ١٠٪ على التوالى عند حقن البيض.