

## TRIALS FOR FUNGAL DECONTAMINATION ON SHEEP CARCASSES

**Elgazzar M. M. M.**

Food Hygiene and Control Dept.- Fac. Vet. Med.,  
Mansoura University, Egypt

### ABSTRACT

*The fungal contamination onto the outside (subcutaneous) surface of 20 sheep carcasses, slaughtered and dressed at Mansoura municipal (old-fashioned) abattoir, was analyzed before and after 5 different decontamination trials (two at the abattoir and three at the laboratory). At abattoir, this contamination was surveyed over the round, flank, shoulder, and neck surfaces each of 10 carcasses before and after abattoir decontamination trials, whereas, at laboratory the same mycological analysis was carried out on the surface of abdominal flap, freshly excised from every of other 10 sheep carcasses before and after laboratory decontamination trials.*

*The presence of yeast and mould contamination was detected in all triple-swab samples taken from the examined surfaces before decontamination trials (100%). After application of the first abattoir trial, the presence every of yeast and mould contamination was recognized in 80 - 100% of round, flank, shoulder, and neck samples of carcass surfaces hose-sprayed with tap water for one minute, while the second abattoir decontamination trial could decrease the yeast-contaminated samples to 50 - 80%, and mould-contaminated samples to 70 - 90% over the same carcass surfaces hose-sprayed with tap water for one minute then wiped with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt. Yeast and mould contamination were also detected in 40 and 50% samples of abdominal flap surfaces sprayed with 0.27% benzoic acid solution for one minute (first laboratory decontamination trial), in 50 and 80% samples of abdominal flap surfaces sprayed with 2% acetic acid solution for one minute (second laboratory decontamination trial), and in 80% samples (each) of abdominal flap surfaces sprayed with 2.5% potassium sorbate solution for one minute (third laboratory decontamination trial), respectively.*

*The mean levels of yeast and mould intensities onto the untreated carcass surfaces, sampled at abattoir, were 90.5 & 116, 69.01 & 79.23, 152 & 94.5, and 192.5 & 101.9*

propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> of round, flank, shoulder, and neck surfaces reduced to 51.07 & 44.05, 32.05 & 43.01, 60.09 & 47.95, and 58.21 & 67.86 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> with corresponding reduction percentages of 55.72 & 43.57%, 53.56 & 45.72%, 60.47 & 49.26%, and 69.76 & 33.41% onto the same surfaces after hose-spraying each of them with tap water for one minute, while these levels further decreased to 28.16 & 27.10, 11.20 & 31.0, 10.08 & 30.85, and 20.19 & 50.07 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> with synonymous decrease percentages of 85.46 & 68.88%, 83.77 & 60.87%, 93.37 & 67.35%, and 89.51 & 50.86% on these surfaces, consecutively after hose-spraying each of them with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth. Furthermore, the initial mean values of yeast and mould population over the untreated abdominal flap surfaces, sampled at laboratory, were 70.28 & 49.06 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> decreased to 16.99 & 28.08 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> after spraying each of them with 0.27% benzoic acid solution for one minute, to 18.03 & 26.01 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup>, and to 26.91 & 23.0 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> after an independent spraying each of them with 2% acetic acid and 2.5% potassium sorbate solutions for one minute with corresponding decline percentages of 75.83 & 42.76%, 74.35 & 46.98%, and 61.71 & 53.12%, successively.

A total of identified 619 (100%) mould strains, belonged to 20 genera, could be isolated from all surveyed samples and distributed as 109 (17.61%) *Penicillium*, 104 (16.80%) *Aspergillus*, 74 (11.95%) *Cladosporium*, 53 (8.56%) *Alternaria*, and 51 (8.24%) *Moniliella* strains at the top, followed by 26 (4.2%) *Humicola*, 24 (3.88%) *Geotrichum candidum*, 23 (3.72%) *Fusarium*, 22 (3.55%) *Absidia*, 20 (3.23%) *Syncephalastrum*, and 19 (3.07%) *Acremonium strictum* strains, together with 16 (2.58%) *Stemphylium*, 13 (2.1%) *Mucor*, 12 (1.94%) each of *Aureobasidium* and *Paecilomyces*, 9 (1.45%) every of *Scopulariopsis* and *Trichoderma*, 8 (1.29%) each of *Rhizopus* and *Botrytis*, alongside 7 (1.13%) *Thamnidium* strains. The obtained 104 (100%) *Aspergillus* strains further characterized into 11 groups as 42 (40.38%) *A. niger*, 23 (22.12%) *A. flavus*, and 9 (8.65%) *A. fumigatus* strains at the top, followed by 7 (6.73%) *A. amstelodami* and *A. candidus*, 5 (4.81%) every of *A. sydowii* and *A. ochraceus*, 2 (1.92%) each of *A. clavatus* and *A. nidulans*, together with one strain (0.96%) every of *A. chevalieri* and *A. wentii*.

## INTRODUCTION

Sheep were probably among the first animals to be domesticated by man for mutton, milk, and wool production. In Egypt, lambs provide the most important meat for making fried "kof-ta" and Kabob, hence they are usually slaughtered at 36-45 kg live weight with a resultant 17-23

kg dressed carcass. However, mutton can also derived from gimmers, ewes, wethers, and rams.

The healthy inner flesh of dressed sheep carcasses has been reported to contain few or no microorganisms, although they have been found in lymph nodes, bone marrow, and even flesh. The important contamination, however, comes from the external sources during bleeding, handling, and dressing. During bleeding, skinning, and evisceration, the main sources of microorganisms including fungi are the exterior of animal (skin, wool, and hooves) alongside the gastrointestinal tract. The exterior of animal harbors large numbers and many kinds of fungi particularly moulds derived from soil, water, feed, and manure besides its natural surface flora (Batista et al., 1961; Klare, 1970; Nakae et al., 1976; Ayres et al., 1980; Ramirez, 1982; Farghaly, 1985; and Rosenzweig et al., 1986). Knives, cloths, abattoir environment (floor, walls, air, and water of slaughter halls), in addition to hands and clothing of the workers can serve as intermediate sources of these contaminants (Refai and Loot, 1969; Mansour, 1986; Eldaly et al., 1988; Yassien et al., 1989; Hamdy et al., 1991; and Ismail et al., 1995).

There is no fungus - free environment in our life (Chao et al., 2002). Therefore, the sheep carcasses during their dressing can be contaminated with fungal propagules from the surrounding environments. So, moulds of many genera could reach the meat surfaces and grow there; *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus*, *Cladosporium*, *Geotrichum*, *Mucor*, *Fusarium*, *Alternaria*, and *Thamnidium* are prevalent species (Ayres et al., 1980). Nature and extent of fungal contamination on dressed sheep carcasses are important criteria in judging their hygienic quality (Samson et al., 1981). The mycological condition of carcasses is very dependent on the conditions under which their animals are reared, slaughtered, and dressed.

Along with moulds, yeasts belong to the class Mycota or fungi, which are primitive plant-like structures lacking in chlorophyll. The yeasts are microscopic, single-celled organisms generally larger than the bacteria. Yeasts are mostly saprophytic, while few species are pathogenic. They occur almost everywhere in the environment as well as on skin and in alimentary tract of mammals. A mould consists of a mycelium of branched filaments (hyphae) which bear spores or conidia. In contrast to the yeasts, moulds can be seen with the naked eye as fluffy growths on meats; coloured black, white, or other pigments. Like yeasts, they are primarily saprophytic organisms, breaking down complex organic materials into simpler substances, thus contributing to the decomposition of meats (Gracey and Collins, 1992).

Meat provides an ideal medium for fungal growth, as it has an optimal pH range (5.6-6.7). A high water content ( $a_w = 0.99$ ), a rich supply of nitrogenous substance, and a source of carbohydrate. Meat may assume a mouldy odour and taste if the mould affection is extensive, and for long standing the fat rancidity may occur (Jay, 1992). Furthermore, most of moulds can deterio-

rate meat through production of their proteolytic and lipolytic enzymes (Ayres et al., 1980). Some moulds can also elaborate toxic substances (mycotoxins) in infected meats that are poisonous for man (Bullerman et al., 1969a&b). So, the mould growth on meat causes an economic loss caused by trimming of the affected parts (Welsler, 1962).

Moulds and yeasts could grow on lamb and mutton carcasses stored at -5°C. The mould genera of *Penicillium* and *Cladosporium* attack and penetrate the superficial layers of connective tissues or of fat covering the musculature and produce discolouring spots ranging from yellow to black. Mycelia of various members of the *Mucorales* may also be observed onto these carcasses, wherein strains of *Thamnidium*, *Mucor*, and *Rhizopus* can produce an extensive whiskey, or cottony grey- to- black growth (Ayres et al., 1980). On the other hand, yeasts seldom cause spoilage of fresh red meats, being only a small part of the initial microbial population and growing more slowly than most bacteria. Yeasts cause spoilage of refrigerated meats only when bacterial numbers have been restricted (Ayres et al., 1980). Spoilage of chilled beef (at -1.1°C) is caused by growth of a mixture of bacteria, yeasts, and moulds on the beef surface (Empey and Scott, 1939). In general, yeasts can grow on much dryer surfaces than can bacteria. The characteristic yeasty odour is mostly pronounced in the affected meats.

The fungal contamination over cattle and sheep carcasses was evaluated by many researchers (Eldaly et al., 1988; Yassien et al., 1989; Elgazzar, 1992; and Shaban, 1995), however they did not try to inhibit or reduce such inevitable contamination. Meat hygiene aims at reducing contamination and preventing the proliferation of harmful mycoflora. Hence, one minute hose-spraying of the whole surface of freshly dressed carcasses at abattoirs with a tap water, either exclusively or followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal of most of visible dirt, are probable successful trials for decontamination (Mohammed, 2004). In addition to the trend of the use of some chemicals including organic acids to prevent or delay the meat spoilage has been practiced since 5000 B. C. (Luek, 1980). Propionic, sorbic, benzoic, acetic, formic acids and their salts received much interest in the last decade as fungal decontaminators in/on food (Farkas, 2001).

The aim of the present work, therefore, was to evaluate the mycological condition of the freshly dressed sheep carcasses at Mansoura municipal (old-fashioned) abattoir alongside the creation of some applicable fungal decontamination trials, through fulfilling these points:

- I. Estimation of both yeast and mould populations per each square centimeter of the outside surface of freshly dressed sheep carcasses, before and after decontamination trials.
- II. Generic identification of the isolated mould strains with further group characterization of the obtained aspergilli.

III. Evaluation of the efficacy of different 5 fungal decontamination trials onto the tested carcass surfaces; (1) hose-spraying of the whole carcass surface with a municipal (tap) water for one minute, (2) hose-spraying of the whole carcass surface with a municipal water for one minute, followed by wiping with a sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt, (3) spraying of a limited outside surface area of the abdominal flap (about 10x10 cm), freshly excised from dressed untreated sheep carcass, with 0.27% benzoic acid solution for one minute, (4) spraying of a limited outside surface area of the abdominal flap (about 10x10 cm), recently excised from dressed untreated sheep carcass, with 2% acetic acid solution for one minute, and (5) spraying of a limited outside surface area of the abdominal flap (about 10x10 cm), freshly excised from dressed untreated sheep carcass with 2.5% potassium sorbate solution for one minute.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### I. COLLECTION AND PREPARATION OF THE SAMPLES :

The outside (subcutaneous) surface of different sites of 20 sheep carcasses, slaughtered and dressed at Mansoura municipal (old-fashioned) abattoir, was swabbed and tested mycologically, before and after 5 various decontamination treatments. All sampled carcasses were from animals had unclean fleece and processed under similar conditions, where they have been slaughtered by Islamic method after being lain on a dirty floor, through severing both carotid arteries and jugular veins, trachea, besides oesophagus then left 2 minutes for efficient bleeding followed by floor-dressing.

Out of the examined carcasses, the outside surface each of round, flank, shoulder, and neck of 10 carcasses was alternatively sampled 3 times at the abattoir: every time represented one of the three carcass conditions: (1) immediately after skinning and evisceration "without rinsing or any decontamination treatment", (2) after a continuous hose-spraying of the whole carcass surface with a municipal (tap) water for one minute (at a maximal pressure without splashing of the neighboring carcasses), and (3) after a continuous hose-spraying of the whole carcass surface with a tap water for one minute followed by wiping with a sterilized cloth. The latter carcass condition was achieved by wiping the previously hose-sprayed surface until removal most of the visible dirt.

The outside surface of one large abdominal flap, aseptically excised from each of the remaining 10 untreated carcasses, was also sampled rapidly at the laboratory under 4 different conditions (every condition was revealed onto an independent flap subsample having a limited area of about 10x10 cm): (1) shortly after dressing of untreated carcass plus excision and packaging of

sampled flap "without rinsing or any decontamination treatment", (2) after a continuous spraying of the whole outside surface of flap subsample with a 0.27% benzoic acid solution (maximal solubility) for one minute, (3) after a continuous spraying of the whole outside surface of flap subsample with a 2% acetic acid solution for one minute, and (4) after a continuous spraying of the whole outside surface of flap subsample with a 2.5% potassium sorbate solution for one minute. Each of the treated flap subsample was sprayed with one type of the applied decontaminating solutions, by using a 500-ml plastic sprayer, after being hung and clipped on a sterilized frame made of stainless steel.

A limited area (20 cm<sup>2</sup>) over each surface sample inside a sterilized metal template (4x5 cm) was rubbed repeatedly and successively by 3 sterilized gauze-cotton swabs (having a size of about 3.5x1.5 cm and attached to flat wooden stick of about 10 cm length); the first swab was moistened with a 0.1% peptone water (the diluent used) while the other 2 swabs were dry. The 3 swab sticks were broken off below the contaminated handled area into a sterile 100-ml flask containing 40 ml of the used diluent to give an original dilution of 1:2 after thorough homogenization of the triple swabs (Patterson, 1971). Each swab sample was then marked and subjected to prompt mycological examination.

## II. MYCOLOGICAL TESTS:

### (1) Enumeration of the yeast and mould propagules in the surface samples (King et al., 1979):

One fifth (0.2) ml amount from the previously prepared original dilution (1:2) was delivered and spread onto the dried surface each of sterilized duplicate plates of dichloran rose bengal chloramphenicol agar (DRCA). The inoculated plates as well as the control one were incubated at 25°C for 5 days. The average of yeast and mould colonies were then enumerated over the duplicate plates and the total yeast count/cm<sup>2</sup> plus the total mould count/cm<sup>2</sup> of the tested surface were calculated and recorded. Every mould growth onto a countable plate was picked up and transferred either onto a slope of Czapek yeast extract agar (CYA) (for hydrophilic moulds) or onto a slope of Czapek yeast extract agar with 20% sucrose (CY20S) (for osmophilic moulds) then incubated at 25°C for 1-2 weeks and subjected for identification.

### (2) Identification of the isolated mould strains:

Generic identification of the obtained mould strains was carried out according to Raper and Thom (1949), Arx (1967), Zycha et al. (1969), Barnett and Hunter (1972), Samson et al. (1976), Schipper (1978), and Pitt and Hocking (1985), whereas group characterization of the

recovered aspergilli was completed owing to **Raper and Fennell (1965)** and **Samson (1979)**.

### III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

The data obtained in this study were statistically analysed according to the methods described by **Snedecor (1971)**.

## DISCUSSION

Inspection of Table (1) exhibits the presence of both yeast and mould contamination in all surveyed swab samples (100%) taken from the untreated carcass surfaces of round, flank, shoulder, neck, and abdominal flap. This fungal presence decreased to 80 & 90% in round and to 90% each of yeast and mould in shoulder samples, respectively, while the yeast-contaminated flank samples reduced to 90% after hose-spraying each of their surfaces with tap water for one minute (first abattoir decontamination trial), whereas the occurrence of mould contamination in flank and neck samples as well as the yeasts in neck ones not affected by this decontamination trial as they could be detected in 100% of these samples. More reductions in yeast- and mould-contaminated abattoir samples to 50 & 70%, 70 & 80%, 60 & 80%, and 80 & 90% were established in those samples obtained from round, flank, shoulder, and neck surfaces, successively after hose-spraying each of them with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth (second abattoir decontamination trial). Furthermore, a considerable decrease in yeast- and mould-contaminated laboratory samples, taken from the abdominal flaps, to 40 & 50%, respectively was achieved after spraying each of their surfaces with 0.27% benzoic acid solution for one minute (first laboratory decontamination trial). Similar drastic reduction (50%) was also detected in yeast-contaminated samples that obtained from the flap surfaces after spraying every of them with 2% acetic acid solution for one minute (second laboratory decontamination trial), while moderate decrease (20%) was only exhibited in mould-contaminated samples recovered from the same treated surfaces. The third laboratory decontamination trial could slightly and equally reduce the number of both yeast- and mould-contaminated samples by 20% after spraying each of their flap surfaces with 2.5% potassium sorbate solution for one minute. General view on the obtained results reveals the noticeable reducing effect of the 0.27% benzoic acid spraying on the occurrence frequency of yeast and mould propagules in tested samples, while both hose-spraying with tap water and spraying with 2.5% potassium sorbate solution were the least two effective trials. Also, the presence of yeast contamination in swab samples of treated surfaces was  $\leq$  mould one. The decreasing effect of abattoir decontamination trials on the yeast- and mould-contaminated samples was more appreciable on the round and shoulder surfaces than that on the flank and neck ones. This may be attributed to the more intense fungal con-

tamination on the latter 2 surface sites. The detection of fungal contamination in all examined swab samples of untreated carcass surfaces agreed with the findings obtained by **Shabanh (1995)** on sheep carcasses besides those results evaluated by **Abd-Allah (2005)** on beef carcasses. and can be explained by the literature of **Empey and Scott (1939)** who determined the average yeast and mould numbers in dry soil found on animals by  $5 \times 10^4$  and  $1.2 \times 10^5$  propagules/g, in fresh animal faeces by  $2 \times 10^5$  and  $6 \times 10^4$  propagules/g, and in rumen content by  $1.8 \times 10^5$  and  $1.6 \times 10^3$  propagules/g, consecutively. Furthermore, the transfer of microfloral contamination from skin and gut of the slaughtered animals to the surface of their carcasses during dressing is inevitable even with using a current slaughterhouse technology (**Thornton and Gracey, 1974 and Dickson and Anderson, 1992**), in addition to the very high fungal population may be get onto the dressed carcasses from the air, dust, and soil inside slaughter halls (**Lacey, 1973; Christensen et al., 1978; McKenzie and Taylor, 1983; Hill et al., 1984; and Hamdy et al., 1991**).

Concerning the intensities of yeast propagules onto the untreated carcass surfaces: they ranged from 21 to 203 /cm<sup>2</sup> on round, 14 - 137 /cm<sup>2</sup> on flank, 33 - 260 /cm<sup>2</sup> on shoulder, 47 - 310 /cm<sup>2</sup> on neck, and 12 - 250 /cm<sup>2</sup> on abdominal flap with mean values of  $90.5 \pm 18.11$ ,  $69.01 \pm 14.76$ ,  $152 \pm 29.08$ ,  $192.5 \pm 39.1$ , and  $70.28 \pm 18.36$  /cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The first abattoir decontamination trial (hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute) could reduce these yeast propagules to 0 - 138 /cm<sup>2</sup> on round, 0 - 89 /cm<sup>2</sup> on flank, 0 - 79 /cm<sup>2</sup> on shoulder, and 5 - 99 /cm<sup>2</sup> on neck with mean levels of  $51.07 \pm 10.06$ ,  $32.05 \pm 7.0$ ,  $60.9 \pm 12.12$ , and  $58.21 \pm 13.0$  /cm<sup>2</sup>, consecutively. The second abattoir decontamination trial (hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt) induced the highest reduction in yeast contamination on carcass surfaces wherein the range (minimum - maximum) and mean value of the yeast propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> were 0 - 52 and  $28.16 \pm 4.22$  on round, 0 - 28 and  $11.2 \pm 2.86$  on flank, 0 - 19 and  $10.08 \pm 2.66$  on shoulder, besides 0 - 41 and  $20.19 \pm 5.26$  on neck surfaces. The three independent laboratory decontamination trials could also decrease the yeast propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> to 0 - 29 and  $16.99 \pm 5.0$ , 0 - 34 and  $18.03 \pm 4.98$ , alongside 0 - 85 and  $26.91 \pm 8.72$  on the abdominal flap surfaces after spraying every of them for one minute with solutions of 0.27% benzoic acid (first laboratory decontamination trial), 2% acetic acid (second laboratory decontamination trial), and 2.5% potassium sorbate (third laboratory decontamination trial), respectively (Table, 2). These data indicate that the first abattoir decontamination trial (hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute) could remove 55.72, 53.56, 60.47, and 69.76% of yeast contamination from the round, flank, shoulder, and neck surfaces, successively, whereas the furthest reduction levels in yeast contamination resulted from the second abattoir decontam-



ination trial (hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt) as 85.46, 83.77, 93.37, and 89.51% on the round, flank, shoulder, and neck surfaces, consecutively. Reduction in yeast populations onto the surveyed abdominal flap surfaces can also be obtained by percentages of 75.83, 74.35, and 61.71% after 3 independent laboratory decontamination trials: spraying each of flap surface for one minute with solutions of 0.27% benzoic acid, 2% acetic acid, and 2.5% potassium sorbate, respectively (Table, 4). Moderately lower yeast contamination levels were detected by **Abd-Allah (2005)** over the untreated beef carcasses (mean values of 41.43 - 100 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup>), at the contrary, extremely higher yeast populations ( $4 \times 10^3$  -  $2 \times 10^4$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup>) onto the analogous carcass surfaces were obtained by **Eldaly et al. (1988)**. This variation may be referred to the different abattoir-sanitation levels and yeast-enumeration techniques.

In regard to the decontaminating effects of the first abattoir trial, the greatest reduction in yeast population was revealed on the surfaces of neck (69.76%) followed by shoulder (60.47%), round (55.72%), and flank (53.56%), consecutively after hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute (Table, 4). These findings correspond with the reports of **Kotula et al. (1974)**; **Notermans et al. (1980)**; and **Siragusa (1995)** who emphasized that the spray washing of carcasses significantly reduces their surface microflora. Approximately similar surface yeast reductions (47.13 - 77.78%) were achieved on beef carcasses, slaughtered and dressed at Mansoura municipal abattoir, after similar decontamination trial performed by **Abd-Allah (2005)**. With respect to the efficacy of second abattoir trial for yeast decontamination, the highest yeast reduction (93.87%) induced by this trial was detected onto the shoulder succeeded by 89.51% on neck, 85.46% on round, and 83.77% on flank after hose-spraying the whole carcass surface with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt (Table, 4). These results are analogous to the yeast reductions (82.76 - 98.61%) obtained by **Abd-Allah (2005)** onto the similarly treated beef carcasses. The ultimate yeast decontamination revealed by the second abattoir trial can be attributed to the fact that the spray washing replaces the contaminated water film on carcass surface with a clean water film, thus reducing the microbial load, alongside, the removal most of visible dirt from spray-washed carcass surface with sterilized cloth would additionally enhance the microbial safety of treated carcass meat (**Mulder, 1985**; **Gill, 2004**; and **Mohammed, 2004**).

Regarding the laboratory decontamination trials for decreasing yeast population over the surface of abdominal flaps excised from freshly dressed sheep carcasses, one minute-spraying each of these surfaces with a 0.27% benzoic acid solution was the most effective trial as could reduce 75.83% of their yeast contamination, followed by the similarly and independently sprayed 2% acetic acid and 2.5% potassium sorbate solutions as could decrease 74.35 and 61.71% of their

yeast population, respectively (Table. 4). Higher yeast reduction percentages were obtained by **Abd-Allah (2005)** after identical benzoic acid trial (96.12%) and acetic acid trial (94.57%), whereas analogous yeast reduction level (60.47%) resulted after similar potassium sorbate trial onto the surface of beef abdominal flaps. The antimycotic effect of benzoic acid for controlling yeast contamination in/on foods was emphasized by **Chistester and Tanner (1972)**; **Luck (1986)**; and **Frazier and Westhoff (1995)** besides the inhibitory concentration of benzoic acid against most yeasts was estimated by **Chiple (1993)** as from 20 to 700 mg/ml. Furthermore, **Baird-Parker (1980)** established the capability of acetic acid to reduce microbial population on carcass surfaces through lowering their tissue pH and changing permeabilities of microbial cell membrane. The inhibitory effect of potassium sorbate on the yeast growth and its subsequent importance for extending the shelf life of beef steaks for 2 days were also reported by **Chistester and Tanner (1972)**; **Greer (1982)**; **Bullerman (1985)**; and **Sofos (1989)**.

Figures arranged in Table (3) show the mould population onto the tested carcass surfaces, before and after decontamination trials; its range (minimum - maximum) and mean value on the untreated surfaces were 17 - 204 and  $116 \pm 21.93$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on round, 15 - 181 and  $79.23 \pm 19.07$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on flank, 20 - 316 and  $94.5 \pm 21.89$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on shoulder, 33 - 349 and  $101.9 \pm 22.31$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on neck, together with 14 - 127 and  $49.06 \pm 12.08$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on abdominal flap. The first abattoir decontamination trial decreased these figures considerably to 0 - 90 and  $44.05 \pm 9.16$ , 9 - 107 and  $43.01 \pm 9.96$ , 0 - 198 and  $47.95 \pm 11.01$ , in addition to 24 - 210 and  $67.86 \pm 16.05$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> of the round, flank, shoulder and neck surfaces, respectively after hose-spraying the whole each of their carcasses with tap water for one minute. The second abattoir decontamination trial could induce the ultimate reduction in the level of surface moulds where they ranged from 0 to 63 with a mean of  $27.1 \pm 6.98$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on round, 0 - 83 and  $31.0 \pm 7.09$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on flank, 0 - 147 and  $30.85 \pm 7.06$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on shoulder, besides 0 - 166 and  $50.07 \pm 13.04$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> on neck surfaces after hose-spraying the whole each of their carcasses with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt. On the other hand, appreciable reduction in mould propagules over the abdominal flap surfaces can also be obtained, as their ranges and mean levels were 0 - 49 and  $28.08 \pm 3.07$ , 0 - 70 and  $26.01 \pm 5.96$ , together with 0 - 91 and  $23.0 \pm 4.99$  /cm<sup>2</sup> after an independent spraying of each surveyed surface for one minute with 0.27% benzoic acid, 2% acetic acid, and 2.5% potassium sorbate solutions, consecutively. These findings emphasized that the considerable reduction levels in mould contamination were achieved after application of the first abattoir decontamination trial, with the greatest reduction was occurred on shoulder (49.26%), followed by 45.72, 43.57, and 33.41% reductions on flank, round, and neck surfaces after hose-spraying the whole each of

their carcasses with tap water for one minute, while the furthest mould decontamination was recognized by percentages of 67.35, 60.87, 68.88, and 50.86% onto the same surfaces, successively after hose-spraying the whole each of their carcasses with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth until removal most of visible dirt (second abattoir decontamination trial), in addition to the mould reductions resulted after using the three independent laboratory decontamination trials onto the abdominal flap surfaces; 53.12% was the greatest decrease percentage in mould contamination induced by the one minute-spraying each tested surface with 2.5% potassium sorbate solution (third laboratory decontamination trial) followed by 46.98% caused by the one minute-spraying every surveyed surface with 2% acetic acid solution (second laboratory decontamination trial) together with 42.76% elicited by the one minute-spraying each investigated surface with 0.27% benzoic acid solution (first laboratory decontamination trial) (Table, 4).

With reference to the mould population detected onto the examined untreated carcass surfaces, the highest intensities were determined over both round and neck surfaces followed by those found on shoulder and flank ones, whereas the least mould contamination levels were observed on the abdominal flap surfaces. These findings corresponded, to large extent, with those obtained by **Abd-Allah (2005)** onto the similar surfaces of beef carcasses. In comparison with the obtained mould intensities, **Yassien et al. (1989)** recovered approximately similar mould populations onto the outside shoulder and thigh surfaces of surveyed cattle carcasses (mean values of 120 and 56 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively), whereas the lower mould contamination levels on similar beef carcass surfaces (mean values of 31.15 - 83.5 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup>) were detected by **Abd-Allah (2005)**, on the contrary, exceedingly higher mould intensities by mean values of  $2 \times 10^2$  -  $2 \times 10^3$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> determined by **Eldaly et al. (1988)**, and by mean values of 98 - 266 propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> estimated by **Elgazzar (1992)** over the cattle carcass surfaces, together with the mean values of mould contamination as  $2.46 \times 10^3$  -  $2.82 \times 10^3$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> recognized by **Shabanh (1995)**, and as  $5.67 \times 10^4$  propagules/cm<sup>2</sup> evaluated by **Hassan (2004)** onto the sheep carcass surface.

Concerning the efficacy of first and second abattoir decontamination trials in ascending reduction of mould contamination levels on the surveyed carcass surfaces, the resulted reduction percentages (33.41 - 49.26% & 50.86 - 68.88%, consecutively) coordinated with **Patterson (1968)** who established that the spray-washing of carcass reduces the mould propagules onto its surface by removing the liquid film containing propagules before they become more closely attached with the rough outside (subcutaneous) surface of the dressed carcass. Additional removal of visible dirt, having some mould propagules, by the sterilized cloth onto the hose-sprayed carcass surface could enhance its microbial safety through reaching to the ultimate mould decon-

tamination (Gill, 2004). Moderately higher reduction percentages in mould contamination over the beef carcass surfaces (38.68 - 60.48% & 60.38 - 77.84%) obtained by Abd-Allah (2005) after treating them with identical two abattoir decontamination trials, respectively. However, lower decrease level (about 18%) in mould population was detected by Sakhare et al. (1999) on the surface of dressed chicken carcasses after spraying them with tap water. In comparison with yeasts, the decontaminating effects of these abattoir trials against yeasts were higher, in all cases, than that against moulds found on carcass surfaces, where their effectiveness against yeasts simulating, to large extent, that against bacteria (Mohammed, 2004).

With respect to the reducing effect of 0.27% benzoic acid on the intensities of mould contamination of carcass surfaces, the reduction percentage obtained in the present study (42.76% reduction) correspond with Chistester and Tanner (1972), Luck (1986), Prasai et al. (1991), and Frazier and Westhoff (1995) who emphasized that the benzoic acid can inhibit the mould growth owing to its antimycotic effect. Furthermore, the inhibitory concentrations of benzoic acid against moulds are recommended by Chipley (1993) as a range of 20 - 2 000 mg/ml. However, lower reduction percentage (about 11%) in mould population was detected onto the outside surface of cattle carcasses by Nassar et al. (1995) after swabbing each of them with 0.7% benzoic acid solution for 3 - 5 minutes. Meanwhile, the higher mould decontamination percentage (76.53%) established on beef carcass surfaces as a result of identical 0.27% benzoic acid trial (Abd-Allah, 2005). As regards the decontaminating effect of 2% acetic acid solution on the mould population over the surveyed carcass surfaces, the decline percentage in mould contamination determined in the present work (46.98%) may be supported by the findings obtained by Awad (1994) who could retard the appearance of visible mould growth onto the outside surfaces of luncheon sausage, hung at ordinary temperature simulating the market condition, for 4 days after spraying each of them with 1% acetic acid solution for one minute. Greater reduction percentages in mould contamination (88.78 and 66.80%) over the broiler carcass surfaces, after independent spraying each of them with 0.5% and 2% acetic acid solutions, determined by Sakhare et al. (1999) and Eldaly et al. (2002), respectively, as well as onto the beef carcass surfaces (58.01%) after treating each of them with acetic acid trial identical to that of the present study (Abd-Allah, 2005). Inspection of the selective fungal decontaminating effect of the 2% acetic acid trial onto the examined carcass surfaces, reveal that the acetic acid was more effective against yeasts than moulds; this findings agreed with that reported by Banwart (1989), Frazier and Westhoff (1995), and Farkas (2001). Concerning the decreasing effect of 2.5% potassium sorbate trial on the intensities of surface mould contamination applied in the present work, the obtained decreasing percentage (53.12%) can be emphasized through the finding of Awad (1994) who delayed the visible mould growth over the outside surfaces of luncheon sausage, hung at

the ordinary room temperature simulating the market condition, for 24 days after spraying each of them with 5% sorbic acid solution for one minute; together with the finding of **Baldock et al. (1979)** who prevented the mould growth onto cured ham surfaces for 60 days after their spraying with 5 or 10% potassium sorbate solution; in addition to the result of **Sofos and Busta (1981)** who established that the dipping of dry sausage casings in 2.5% potassium sorbate solution could prevent the mould growth over the product surface during drying period. An extremely higher decline percentage (95.04%) in mould population was obtained by **Hassan (2004)** onto the sheep carcass surfaces after their spraying with 0.2% potassium sorbate solution, while lower reduction level in mould contamination (45.68%) was detected by **Abd-Allah (2005)** onto the cattle carcass surfaces after their treatment with a potassium sorbate trial identical to that applied in the present study. Sensory evaluation of the laboratory-treated flaps exhibited no bleaching, discoloration, abnormal odor, or abnormal taste was detected in their meat after spraying each of them with any of 0.27% benzoic acid, 2% acetic acid, and 2.5% potassium sorbate solutions for one minute, because these organic acids and their salts were used in the present study by approved low concentrations.

Collectively, all fungal decontamination trials (abattoir and laboratory ones) applied in this work could reduce the yeast contamination by higher levels than that of mould type onto all the surveyed carcass surfaces. In conclusion, the abattoir (mechanical) decontamination trials are considered the most effective and applicable methods for reducing fungal decontamination on the freshly dressed carcasses at municipal (old-fashioned) abattoirs, whereas the laboratory (chemical) decontamination trials can be done in meat factories.

Results in Table (5) reveal that a total of identified 619 (100%) mould strains belonged to 20 genera could be isolated from all surveyed surfaces before and after decontamination trials, distributed as 109 (17.61%) *Penicillium*, 104 (16.80%) *Aspergillus*, 74 (11.95%) *Cladosporium*, 53 (8.56%) *Alternaria*, and 51 (8.24%) *Moniliella* strains at the top, followed by 26 (4.2%) *Humicola*, 24 (3.88%) *Geotrichum candidum*, 23 (3.72%) *Fusarium*, 22 (3.55%) *Absidia*, 20 (3.23%) *Syncephalastrum*, and 19 (3.07%) *Acremonium strictum* strains, together with 16 (2.58%) *Stemphylium*, 13 (2.1%) *Mucor*, 12 (1.94%) each of *Aureobasidium* and *Paecilomyces*, alongside 9 (1.45%) every of *Scopulariopsis* and *Trichoderma*, 8 (1.29%) each of *Rhizopus* and *Botrytis*, besides 7 (1.13%) *Thamnidium* strains. The recovered 104 (100%) *Aspergillus* strains were further characterized into 11 groups and distributed as 42 (40.38%) *A. niger*, and 23 (22.12%) *A. flavus* strains at the top, followed by 9 (8.65%) *A. fumigatus*, 7 (6.73%) each of *A. amstelodami* and *A. candidus*, 5 (4.81%) every of *A. sydowii* and *A. ochraceus*, in addition to 2 (1.92%) each of *A. clavatus* and *A. nidulans*, alongside 1 (0.96%) every of *A. chevalieri* and *A. wentii* (Table. 6). Nearly similar percentages of *Penicillium* (17.94%), *Aspergillus* (18.72%), *Cladosporium* (11.9 & 12.21%), *Alter-*

itaria (8.7 & 8.94%), Geotrichum candidum (3.3 & 3.63%), Fusarium (3.35 & 3.8 & 4.01%), Absidia (3.94%), Mucor (2.1%), Aureobasidium (1.5%), Paecilomyces (2.2%), Scopulariopsis (1.4%), Trichoderma (1%), Rhizopus (1.4%), Botrytis (1.3 & 1.5%), and Thamnidium strains (1.6%) detected onto the surface of cattle and sheep carcasses as well as of fresh meats (Refai and Loot, 1969; Mansour, 1986; Eldaly et al., 1988; Yassien et al., 1989; Elgazzar, 1992; and Abd-Allah, 2005), whereas higher levels of Penicillium (21.5 & 26.11 & 28.49%), Aspergillus (28.7 & 34.6 & 39.66%), Cladosporium (25.5%), Alternaria (10.34%), Moniliella (13.17%), Humicola (12.21%), Geotrichum candidum (14.31%), Absidia (6.8%), Syncephalastrum (5.5%), Acremonium strictum (4.58%), Stemphylium (5.3%), Mucor (4.5 & 8.1%), Aureobasidium (2.5%), Paecilomyces (2.8%), Scopulariopsis (2.2%), Trichoderma (3.94%), Rhizopus (1.6 & 3.94 & 5.2%), Botrytis (2.7%), and Thamnidium strains (3.4%) isolated from the surface of cattle and sheep carcasses alongside of fresh meats plus frozen beef and poultry (Refai and Loot, 1969; Mansour, 1986; Eldaly et al., 1988; Yassien et al., 1989; Mansour et al., 1990; Elgazzar, 1992; and Abd-Allah, 2005), on the contrary, lower percentages of Penicillium (12.1%), Aspergillus (13.93%), Cladosporium (5 & 6.42%), Alternaria (0.95 & 2.1 & 3.3%), Geotrichum candidum (0.49 & 1.2%), Fusarium (1.7 & 2.46%), Acremonium strictum (0.5 & 2.4%), Stemphylium (0.19%), Mucor (0.38 & 0.98%), Aureobasidium (0.38%), Scopulariopsis (1.15%), Trichoderma (0.5%), and Thamnidium strains (0.1%) determined over the surface of cattle and sheep carcasses together with fresh meats (Refai and Loot, 1969; Mansour, 1986; Eldaly et al., 1988; Yassien et al., 1989; Elgazzar, 1992; and Abd-Allah, 2005). On the other hand, approximately analogous percentages of *A. niger* (42.2%), *A. flavus* (19.18%), *A. candidus* (7.1%), *A. nidulans* (2.47%), and *A. chevalieri* strains (1.37%) estimated onto cattle carcass surfaces (Elgazzar, 1992 and Abd-Allah, 2005), however, higher levels of *A. niger* (49.32%), *A. fumigatus* (11.7%), *A. amstelodami* (13.7%), *A. candidus* (14.7%), *A. sydowii* (12.2%), *A. clavatus* (16.7%), *A. chevalieri* (3.4%), and *A. wentii* strains (2.4%) isolated from the surface of cattle carcasses as well as of frozen beef and poultry (Abdel-Rahman et al., 1985; Elgazzar, 1992; and Abd-Allah, 2005), while, lower percentages of *A. flavus* (5.63 & 17.6%), *A. fumigatus* (1.37%), *A. amstelodami* (4.5%), *A. candidus* (2.47%), and *A. ochraceus* strains (3.9 & 4.11%) detected over cattle carcass surfaces (Eldaly et al., 1988; Elgazzar, 1992; and Abd-Allah, 2005).

Table (1): Number and percentage of yeast - and mould-contaminated swab samples taken from the outside surface of surveyed sheep carcasses; before and after decontamination trials (n = 10 for each surface site at every condition of carcasses and flaps).

Examined sites and contaminated samples	Round		Flank		Shoulder		Neck		Abdominal flap	
	Yeast samples	Mould samples	Yeast samples	Mould samples	Yeast samples	Mould samples	Yeast samples	Mould samples	Yeast samples	Mould samples
Condition of examined carcasses and flaps										
After dressing "without any decontamination trial"	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	10(100%)
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute.	8(80%)	9(90%)	9(90%)	10(100%)	9(90%)	9(90%)	10(100%)	10(100%)	Not applied	
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth.	5(50%)	7(70%)	7(70%)	8(80%)	6(60%)	8(80%)	8(80%)	9(90%)	Not applied	
After spraying with 0.27% benzoic acid for one minute.	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	4(40%)	5(50%)
After spraying with 2% acetic acid for one minute.	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	5(50%)	8(80%)
After spraying with 2.5% potassium sorbate for one minute.	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	Not applied	8(80%)	8(80%)

n = Number of tested samples.

Table (2): Intensity of yeast contamination per each cm<sup>2</sup> of the outside surface of surveyed sheep carcasses, before and after decontamination trials (n = 10 for each surface site at every condition of carcasses and flaps).

Examined sites and number of yeast propagules Condition of examined carcasses and flaps	Round			Flank			Shoulder			Neck			Abdominal flap		
	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.
After dressing "without any decontamination trial".	21	203	90.50 ± 18.11	14	137	69.01 ± 14.76	33	260	152 ± 29.08	47	310	192.50 ± 39.19	12	250	70.28 ± 18.36
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute.	-	138	51.07 ± 10.06	-	89	32.05 ± 7.00	-	79	60.09 ± 12.12	5	99	58.21 ± 13.00	Not applied		
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth.	-	52	28.16 ± 4.22	-	28	11.20 ± 2.86	-	19	10.08 ± 2.66	-	41	20.19 ± 5.26	Not applied		
After spraying with 0.27% benzoic acid for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	29	16.99 ± 5.0
After spraying with 2% acetic acid for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	34	18.05 ± 4.98
After spraying with 2.5% potassium sorbate for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	55	26.91 ± 8.72

n = Number of tested samples.

Min. = Minimum.

Max. = Maximum.

S.E. = Standard error.



**Table (3): Intensity of mould contamination per each cm<sup>2</sup> of the outside surface of surveyed sheep carcasses, before and after decontamination trials (n = 10 for each surface site at every condition of carcasses and flaps).**

Examined sites and number of mould propagules Condition of examined carcasses and flaps	Round			Flank			Shoulder			Neck			Abdominal flap		
	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.	Min.	Max.	Mean± S.E.
After dressing "without any decontamination trial".	17	204	116 ± 21.93	15	181	79.23 ± 19.07	20	316	94.50 ± 21.89	33	349	101.94 ± 22.31	14	127	49.06 ± 12.08
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute.	-	90	44.05 ± 9.16	9	107	43.01 ± 9.96	-	198	47.95 ± 11.01	24	210	67.86 ± 16.05	Not applied		
After dressing and hose-spraying with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth.	-	63	27.10 ± 6.98	-	83	31.00 ± 7.09	-	147	30.85 ± 7.06	-	166	50.07 ± 13.04	Not applied		
After spraying with 0.27% benzoic acid for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	49	28.08 ± 3.07
After spraying with 2% acetic acid for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	70	26.01 ± 5.96
After spraying with 2.5% potassium sorbate for one minute.	Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			Not applied			-	91	23.00 ± 4.99

n = Number of tested samples.

Min. = Minimum.

Max. = Maximum.

S.E. = Standard error.

**Table (4):** Reduction percentages\* of yeast and mould contamination on the outside surface of surveyed sheep carcasses after decontamination trials (n = 10 for each surface site at every condition of carcasses and flaps).

Examined sites and reduced contaminants Type of decontamination trials	Round		Flank		Shoulder		Neck		Abdominal flap	
	Yeasts	Moulds	Yeasts	Moulds	Yeasts	Moulds	Yeasts	Moulds	Yeasts	Moulds
Hose-spraying with tap water for one minute.	55.72%	43.57%	53.56%	45.72%	60.47%	49.26%	69.76%	33.41%	Not applied	
Hose-spraying with tap water for one minute followed by wiping with sterilized cloth.	85.46%	68.88%	83.77%	60.87%	93.37%	67.35%	89.51%	50.86%	Not applied	
Spraying with 0.27% benzoic acid for one minute.	Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		75.83%	42.76%
Spraying with 2% acetic acid for one minute.	Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		74.35%	46.98%
Spraying with 2.5% potassium sorbate for one minute.	Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		Not applied		61.71%	53.12%

n = Number of tested samples.

\* Reduction percentage equal to the % of mean value of the contamination intensity that lost after decontamination trial.

**Table(5):** Type, number, and percentage of mould strains isolated from the outside surface of round, flank, shoulder, neck, and abdominal flap of surveyed sheep carcasses, before and after decontamination trials.

Mould genera	No. and % of mould strains
<i>Penicillium</i>	109 (17.61%)
<i>Aspergillus</i>	104 (16.80%)
<i>Cladosporium</i>	74 (11.95%)
<i>Alternaria</i>	53 (08.56%)
<i>Moniliella</i>	51 (08.24%)
<i>Humicola</i>	26 (04.20%)
<i>Geotrichum candidum</i>	24 (03.88%)
<i>Fusarium</i>	23 (03.72%)
<i>Absidia</i>	22 (03.55%)
<i>Syncephalastrum</i>	20 (03.23%)
<i>Acremonium strictum</i>	19 (03.07%)
<i>Stemphylium</i>	16 (02.58%)
<i>Mucor</i>	13 (02.10%)
<i>Aureobasidium</i>	12 (01.94%)
<i>Paecilomyces</i>	12 (01.94%)
<i>Scopulariopsis</i>	9 (01.45%)
<i>Trichoderma</i>	9 (01.45%)
<i>Rhizopus</i>	8 (01.29%)
<i>Botrytis</i>	8 (01.29%)
<i>Thamnidium</i>	7 (01.13%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>619 (100%)</b>

**Table(6):** Type, number, and percentage of aspergilli isolated from the outside surface of round, flank, shoulder, neck, and abdominal flap of surveyed sheep carcasses, before and after decontamination trials.

Aspergillus groups	No. and % of aspergilli
<i>A. niger</i>	42 (40.38%)
<i>A. flavus</i>	23 (22.12%)
<i>A. fumigatus</i>	9 (08.65%)
<i>A. amstelodami</i>	7 (06.73%)
<i>A. candidus</i>	7 (06.73%)
<i>A. sydowii</i>	5 (04.81%)
<i>A. ochraceus</i>	5 (04.81%)
<i>A. clavatus</i>	2 (01.92%)
<i>A. nidulans</i>	2 (01.92%)
<i>A. chevalieri</i>	1 (00.96%)
<i>A. wentii</i>	1 (00.96%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>104 (100%)</b>

**REFERENCES**

- Abd-Allah S. M. A. (2005)** : Fungal contamination on bovine carcasses with trials for decontamination. Master Thesis of Vet. Med. Sc. (Meat Hygiene), Fac. Vet. Medicine, Mansoura University.
- Abdel-Rahman H. A., Darwish A. and Hamdy M. (1985)** : Mould affections of a meat cold store. Assiut Vet. Med. J. 14 (27): 131-134.
- Arx J. A. (1967)** : Pilzkunde. Von J. Cramer Lethre. In der A. R. Ganter Verlag Kommandit. Gesellschaft. Fl. 9490 Vaduz.
- Awad H. A. (1994)** : Mould free period in traditional sausages as influenced by organic acids treatment. Bent-Suef Vet. Med. Res. IV (2): 442 - 455.
- Ayres J. C., Mundt J. O. and Sandine W. E. (1980)** : Microbiology of Foods. W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.
- Baird-Parker A. C. (1980)** : Organic acids. In: Microbial Ecology of Foods (Vol. I), Silliker J. H., Elliott R. P., Baird-Parker A. C., Bryan S. L., Christian J. H. B., Clarke D. S., Olson Jr. J. C. and Roberts T. A. (Eds.), pp. 126-135. International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for foods Academic Press, New York.
- Baldock J. D., Frank P. R., Graham P. P. and Ivey F. J. (1979)** : Potassium sorbate as a fungistatic agent in country ham processing. J. Food Prot. 42: 780 - 783.
- Banwart G. J. (1989)** : Basic Food Microbiology. 2nd Ed. An avi Book, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Barnett H. L. and Hunter B. B. (1972)** : Illustrated Genera of Imperfect Fungi. 2nd Ed. Burgess Pub. Co.
- Batista A. C., De Vasconcelos C. T., Fischmann O. and Staib F. (1961)** : Fungos leveduriformes efilamentosos de bovinos no recife. Publ. Inst. Microb. Univer. Recife. (Brasil).
- Bullerman L. B. (1985)** : Effects of potassium sorbate on growth and ochratoxin production by *Aspergillus ochraceus* and *Penicillium* species. J. Food Prot. 48: 162 - 165.
- Bullerman L. B., Hartman P. A. and Ayres J. C. (1969a)** : Aflatoxin production in meats I. Stored meats. Appl. Microbiol. 18 (5): 714 - 717.
- Bullerman L. B., Hartman P. A. and Ayres J. C. (1969b)** : Aflatoxin production in meats II. Aged dry salamis and aged country-cured hams. Appl. Microbiol. 18 (5): 718 - 722.
- Chao H. J., Schwartz J., Milton D. K. and Burge H. A. (2002)** : Populations and determinants

of air borne fungi in large office buildings. *Env. Health Prospectives* 110: 777 — 782.

- Chipley J. R. (1993)** : Sodium benzoate and benzoic acid. In: *Antimicrobials in Foods*, 2nd Ed., Davidson P. M. and Branen A. L. (Eds.). Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N. Y., pp. 11 - 48.
- Chistester D. F. and Tanner F. W. (1972)** : Antimicrobial food additives. In: *Handbook of Food Additives*. Eurla T. (Ed.), pp. 115. Chem. Rubber Pub. Co. Cleveland, Ohio.
- Christensen M., Raper K. B. and States J. S. (1978)** : Two new *Aspergillus nidulans* group members from Wyoming soils. *Mycologia* 70: 332 - 3442.
- Dickson J. S. and Anderson M. E. (1992)** : Microbiological decontamination of food animal carcasses by washing and sanitizing systems: A review. *J. Food Prot.* 55 (2): 133 - 140.
- Eldaly E., Abdel-Galil Y. and Saleh E. (1988)** : Studies on food--borne fungi on carcass surface and air in Zagazig abattoir. *The Bulletin of the High Institute of Public Health* 18 (5): 1065 - 1071.
- Eldaly E. A., Morshdy A. M. and Sallam K. I. (2002)** : Improving the sanitary status of broiler carcasses during their processing. 6th Vet. Med. Zag. Conference.
- Elgazzar M. M. M. (1992)** : Prevalence of mould on the cattle carcasses slaughtered at Zagazig abattoir. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Empey W. A. and Scott W. J. (1939)** : Investigations on chilled beef. I. Microbial contamination acquired in the meatworks. *Council Sci. Ind. Res. [Aust.] Bull.* 126.
- Farghaly R. M. M. (1985)** : Incidence of moulds in the intestinal tract of slaughtered animals in Upper Egypt in relation to meat hygiene with special reference to genus *Aspergillus*. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Farkas J. (2001)** : Physical methods of food preservation. In: *Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers*, 2nd Ed., Doyle M. , Beuchat L. and Montville T. (Eds.). ASM Press, Washington, D. C.
- Frazier W. C. and Westhoff D. C. (1995)**: *Food Microbiology*, 4th Ed. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi.
- Gill C. O. (2004)**: Visible contamination on animals and carcasses and the microbiological condition of meat. *J. Food Prot.* 67 (2): 413 - 419.
- Gracey J. F. and Collins D. S. (1992)** : *Meat Hygiene*, 9th Ed. Baillière Tindall.
- Groer G. G. (1982)** : Mechanism of beef shelf life extension by sorbate. *J. Food Prot.* 45: 82 - 83.

- Hamdy M., Yassien N. A., and Mansour N. K. (1991):** Mycological investigations of air in camel and cattle slaughter halls. *Fleischwirtschaft* 1: 55 - 56.
- Hassan M. A. (2004):** Control of mycological hazard in sheep carcasses with special reference to aflatoxins. *SCVMJ VII* (2): 359 - 366.
- Hill R. A., Wilson D. M., Burg W. R. and Shotwell O. L. (1984):** Viable fungi in corn dust. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 47: 84 - 87.
- Ismail M. A., Abou Elala A. H., Nassar A., and Michail D. G. (1995) :** Fungal contamination of beef carcasses and environment in a slaughterhouse. *Food Microbiol.* 12: 441 - 445.
- Jay J. M. (1992):** *Modern Food Microbiology*, 2<sup>th</sup> Ed. Chapman-Hall, New York.
- King A. D., Hocking A. D. and Pitt J. I. (1979) :** Dichloran-rose bengal medium for enumeration and isolation of molds from foods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 37: 959 - 964.
- Klare H. J. (1970) :** Intestinal contents of slaughtered animal as an important source of mould contamination of meat and meat products. *Fleischwirtschaft* 50 (11): 1507 - 1510.
- Kotula A. W., Lusbu W. R., Crouse J. D. and de Vries B. (1974) :** Beef carcass washing to reduce bacterial contamination. *J. Animal Sci.* 39: 674 - 679.
- Lacey J. (1973) :** Actinomycetes and fungus spores in farm air. *J. Agr. Labour. Sci.* 1: 61- 78.
- Luck B. (1986):** *Chemische Lebensmittel Konservierung. Stoffe, Wirkungen, Methoden.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Luek F. (1980):** *Antimicrobial Food Additives*, pp. 265. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Mansour N. K. (1986):** Zum Vorkommen Von Schimmelpilzen der Gattung *Cladosporium* link Ex Fries auf Schafffleisch. Ph. D. Thesis, München, Germany.
- Mansour N. K., Hamdy M., Yassien N. A., and Refai M. (1990) :** Dematiaceous hyphomycetes in slaughtered camels, cattle and surroundings at Cairo abattoir. *Assiut Vet. Med. J.* 24: 145.
- McKenzie F. and Taylor G. S. (1983) :** *Fusarium* populations in British soils relative to different cropping practice. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* 80: 409 - 413.
- Mohammed M. A. M. (2004) :** Sanitary evaluation and reduction trials for surface microbial contamination of sheep carcasses at Mansoura abattoir. M. V. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Mulder R. W. (1985) :** Decreased microbial contamination during poultry processing. *March* 52 - 55.

- Nakae T., Kataoka K. and Yoneya T. (1976)** : Fungal distribution in milk and the milking environment. *Japanese J. Zootechnical Sci.* 47 (7): 402 - 410.
- Nassar A., Ismail M. A. and Abou Elala A. (1995)** : The role of benzoic acid as a fungal decontaminant of beef carcasses. *Fleischwirtschaft* 75 (10): 1218 - 1220.
- Notermans S. H. W., Tezbijshe R. J. and Schothorst M. (1980)** : Removal of fecal contamination of broilers by spray cleaning during evisceration. *British Poultry Sci.* 21: 115 - 121.
- Patterson J. T. (1968)** : Hygiene in meat processing plants III. Methods of reducing carcass contamination. *Record of Agricultural Research Min. Agric., Northern Ireland* 17 (1): 7 - 12.
- Patterson J. T. (1971)** : Microbiological assessment of surfaces. *J. Food Technol.* 6: 63 - 72.
- Pitt J. I. and Hocking A. D. (1985)** : *Fungi and Food Spoilage*. Academic Press, Sydney, Orlando, San Diego, New York, London, Toronto, Montreal, and Tokyo.
- Prasai R. K., Acuff G. R., Lucia L. M., Hale D. S., Savell J. W. and Morgan J. B. (1991)**: Microbiological effects of acid decontamination of beef carcasses at various locations in processing. *J. Food Prot.* 54, 868-872.
- Ramirez C. (1982)** : *Manual and Atlas of the Penicillia*. El-Seuier Biomedical Press. Amsterdam, New York, Oxford.
- Raper K. B. and Fennell D. I. (1965)** : *The genus Aspergillus*. Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, England.
- Raper K. B. and Thom C. (1949)** : *A Manual of the Penicillia*. Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, England.
- Refai M. and Loot A. (1969)** : Studies of mould contaminations of meat in slaughterhouses, butcher's shops and in cold stores. *Mykosen* 12 (10): 621- 624.
- Rosenzweig W. D., Minnigh H. and Pipes W. O. (1986)** : Fungi in potable water distribution systems. *J. American Water Works Association* 78 (1).
- Sakhare P. Z., Sachindra N. M., Yashoda K. P. and Narasimha Rao D. (1999)**: Efficacy of Intermittent decontamination treatments during processing in reducing the microbial load on broiler chicken carcass. *Food Control* 10: 189 - 194.
- Samson R. A. (1979)** : A completion of the aspergilli described since 1965. *Studies in Mycology* No. 18.
- Samson R. A., Hoekstra E. S. and van Oorschot C. A. N. (1981)** : *Introduction to Food-borne Fungi*. 1st Ed. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn, The Netherland.

- Samson R. A., Stolk A. and Hadlock R. (1976)** : Revision on the subsection fasciculate of *Penicillium* and some allied species. *Studies in Mycology* No. 11.
- Schipper M. A. A. (1978)** : 1. On certain species of *Mucor* with key to all accepted species. 2. On the genera *Rhizopus* and *Parasitella*. Centraalbureau voor schimmelcultures. Baarn. Institute of the royal Netherlands Academy of Sciences and Letters. *Study in Mycology* No. 17.
- Shabanh E. S. E. (1995)** : Mycological aspects of sheep carcass surfaces. M. V. Sc. Thesis. Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Sragusa G. R. (1995)** : The effectiveness of carcass decontamination systems for controlling the presence of pathogens on the surfaces of meat animal carcasses. *J. Food Safety* 15. 229 - 238.
- Snedecor G. W. (1971)** : *Statistical Methods*. 14th Ed. Ames., Iowa, The Iowa State University Press.
- Sofos J. N. (1989)** : *Sorbates: Food Preservatives*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl.
- Sofos J. N. and Busta F. F. (1981)** : Antimicrobial activity of sorbate. *J. Food Prot.* 44 (8): 614 - 622.
- Thornton H. and Gracey J. F. (1974)** : *Textbook of Meat Hygiene*. 6th Ed. The English Language Book Society and Bailliere Tindall.
- Weiser H. H. (1962)** : *Practical Food Microbiology and Technology*. Avi Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, Connecticut.
- Yassien N., Mansour N. K., Eldaly E. and Darwish A. (1989)** : Contamination of slaughtered camels, cattle and their surroundings with moulds in urban abattoir. *Alex. J. Vet. Sci.* 5 (2): 185.
- Zycha H. R., Slepman H. R. and Linnemann G. (1969)** : *Mucorales Eine Beschreibung aller Gattungen und Arten dieser Pilzgruppe*, D. 3301 Lehre, J. Cramer.



## الملخص العربي

## محاولات لإزالة التلوث الفطري على ذبائح الغنم

محمد محمد محمد إبراهيم الجزار

قسم الرقابة الصحية على الأغذية - كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة المنصورة

نظراً لتواجد الفطريات (الخمائر والأعفان) في كل مكان يحيط بأسطح ذبائح حيوانات اللحم أثناء تجهيزها : في محتوى الأمعاء والكرش وكذلك على سطح جلد الحيوان الخارجى وفي أجواء المجرى المحيطة بتلك الذبائح (الهواء - الماء - الأرضيات والجدران الخاصة بصالة الذبح) وأيضاً على أيدي وملاص العاملين بالمجزر وعلى السكاكين، لذلك فإنه من المستحيل تجنب وجود هذا التلوث على أسطح الذبائح لاسيما إذا كانت عمليات الذبح والسلخ والتجفيف لحيوانات تلك الذبائح تتم بطريقة غير صحية في معظم مجازرنا المنتشرة في أنحاء الجمهورية، ولما كان إنتاج لحوم نظيفة ذات مستويات متدنية من التلوث الميكروبي بصفة عامة والفطري بصفة خاصة هو من صميم أهداف الرقابة الصحية على اللحوم، لذا كان ضرورياً إستنباط طرق علمية وتطبيقية واقتصادية من أجل إزالة معظم التلوث الفطري من فوق الذبائح الملوثة درماً لمخاطره الاقتصادية والصحية.

تناولت الدراسة الاختبار الفطري للأسطح الخارجة لعشرين ذبيحة غنم عن طريق المسح الثلاثي لكل عينة (سطح) قبل وبعد محاولات إزالة التلوث الفطري من عليه، وتم تمثيل كل عينة مختبرة بعدد ثلاث مسحات (قطن - شاش) متتالية لاقتطاف أكبر عدد من بذيرات التلوث الفطري الموجودة على أسطح الفخذ والخاصرة والكتف والرقبة لكل ذبيحة من جملة عشرة ذبائح علاوة على سطح لحم البطن الخارجى لكل ذبيحة من جملة عشرة ذبائح أخرى، تم أخذ عينات العشرة ذبائح الأولى في المجزر بينما عينات العشرة ذبائح الأخرى في المعمل بعد قطعها من ذبائحها بالمجزر ونقلها في كيس نظيف ثم تقسيمها إلى أربعة أجزاء صغيرة، وقد تم إجراء خمسة محاولات لإزالة التلوث الفطري من على أسطح ذبائح الغنم، إثنان منهم في المجزر وهما : (١) رش كل السطح الخارجى للذبيحة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة، (٢) رش كل السطح الخارجى للذبيحة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة ثم تنظيف وتجفيف هذا السطح بمسحة بفوظة قطنية معقمة (١×١م) حتى إزالة معظم التلوث المرئى من عليه، بينما كانت الثلاث محاولات الأخرى في المعمل وهى : (١) رش كل السطح الخارجى لقطعة لحم البطن الصغيرة بحلول حامض البنزويك (٢٧.٠٪) لمدة دقيقة واحدة، (٢) رش السطح الخارجى لقطعة لحم البطن الصغيرة بحلول حامض الخليك (٢٪) لمدة دقيقة واحدة، و(٣) رش السطح الخارجى لقطعة لحم البطن الصغيرة بحلول سوربات البوتاسيوم (٢٥٪) لمدة قطعة واحدة.

وقد أظهرت أطياف دايكلوران روزينجال كلورامفينيكول الأغار للعد الفطري تواجد أجناس الخمائر والأعفان المختلفة في جميع عينات المسحات المختبرة (١٠٠٪) قبل محاولات إزالة التلوث الفطري من على أسطح الذبائح بينما انخفض هذا التواجد ليكون ٨٠ - ١٠٠٪ من عينات الأسطح المختبرة في المجزر بعد رش كل السطح الخارجى للذبيحة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة بينما تراجمت نسبة تواجد الخمائر إلى ٥٠ - ٨٠٪ والأعفان إلى ٧٠ - ٩٠٪ على تلك الأسطح بعد رش كل السطح الخارجى للذبيحة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة ثم تنظيف وتجفيف هذا السطح بمسحة بفوظة قطنية معقمة (١×١م) حتى إزالة معظم التلوث المرئى من عليه، في حين تراجمت نسب تواجد الخمائر والأعفان في عينات أسطح قطع لحم البطن التى تم اختبارها في المعمل ما بين ٤٠ و ٥٠٪، ٥٠ و ٨٠٪ (لكل منهما) بعد رش كل واحد من تلك الأسطح بحلول حامض البنزويك (٢٧.٠٪) وحلول

حامض الخليك (٢٪) ومحللول سوربات البوتاسيوم (٢٥٪) كل على حدا لمدة دقيقة واحدة على الترتيب.

وسجلت قيم متوسطات أعداد الخمائر والأعفان لكل سنتيمتر مربع من السطح الخارجى للخبز والخاصرة والكثف والرقبة قبل محاولات إزالة التلوث الفطرى من عليه ٩٠.٥ & ١١٦ و ٦٩.٠١ & ٧٩.٢٣ و ١٥٢ & ٩٤.٥ و ١٩٢.٥ & ١٠١.٩ بذيرة فطرية / سم<sup>٢</sup>، بينما تضاملت تلك القيم إلى ٥١.٠٧ & ٤٤.٠٥ و ٣٢.٠٥ & ٤٣.٠١ و ٦٠.٠٩ & ٤٧.٩٥ و ٥٨.٢١ & ٦٧.٨٦ بذيرة فطرية / سم<sup>٢</sup> بنسب انخفاض مئوية مقدارها ٥٥.٧٢ & ٤٣.٥٧٪ و ٥٣.٥٦ & ٤٥.٧٢٪ و ٦٠.٤٧ & ٤٩.٢٦٪ و ٦٩.٧٦ & ٣٣.٤١٪ على تلك الأسطح بعد رش كل سطح خارجى للذبيحة المختبرة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة، فى حين انخفضت تلك الأعداد إلى حد كبير لتصبح ٢٨.١٦ & ٢٧.١٥ و ١١.٢٠ & ٣١.٠ و ١٠.٠٨ & ٣.٠٨٥ و ٢٠.١٩ & ٥٠.٠٧ بذيرة فطرية / سم<sup>٢</sup> بنسب انخفاض مقدارها ٨٥.٤٦ & ٦٨.٨٨٪ و ٨٣.٧٧ & ٦٠.٨٧٪ و ٩٣.٣٧ & ٦٧.٣٥٪ و ٨٩.٥١ & ٥٠.٨٦٪ على التوالي بعد رش كل السطح الخارجى للذبيحة فور تجهيزها بخراطوم موصل بمياه الصنبور "مياه البلدية" لمدة دقيقة واحدة ثم تنظيفه وتجفيفه بغطوة قطنية معقمة (١×١م) حتى إزالة معظم التلوث المرئى من عليه من ناحية أخرى كانت متوسطات أعداد الخمائر والأعفان لكل سنتيمتر مربع من السطح الخارجى للحم البطن قبل محاولات إزالة التلوث من عليه فى المعمل ٧.٠٢٨ & ٤٩.٠٦ بذيرة فطرية فى حين تراجعت تلك المتوسطات إلى ١٦.٩٩ & ٢٨.٠٨ و ١٨.٠٣ & ٢٦.٠١ و ٢٦.٩١ & ٢٣.٠ بذيرة فطرية بعد الرش المنفرد لكل من الأسطح المختبرة بمحللول حامض البنزويك (٢٧٪) ومحللول حامض الخليك (٢٪) ومحللول سوربات البوتاسيوم (٢٥٪) لمدة دقيقة واحدة، تطابقت مع نسب انخفاض مئوية مقدارها ٧٥.٨٣ & ٤٢.٧٦٪ و ٧٤.٣٥ & ٤٦.٩٨ و ٦١.٧١ & ٥٣.١٢٪، على الترتيب.

وقد أمكن عزل وتصنيف ٦١٩ (١٠٠٪) عترة عفن من جميع الأسطح المختبرة كانت تنتمى إلى ٢٠ جنس مختلفة، كان توزيعها كالتالى ١.٩ (١٧.٦١٪) بنيسليوم و ١٠.٤ (١٦.٨٠٪) اسبيرجلس و ٧.٤ (١١.٩٥٪) كلادوسپوريوم و ٥.٣ (٨.٥٦٪) ألترناريا و ٥.١ (٨.٢٤٪) مونيليللا و ٢.٦ (٤.٢٪) هيوميكولا و ٢.٤ (٣.٨٨٪) جيوتريكم كانديدم و ٢.٣ (٣.٧٢٪) فيوزاريام و ٢.٢ (٣.٥٥٪) أبذيدا و ٢.٠ (٣.٢٣٪) سينفسلترم و ١.٩ (٣.٠٧٪) أكرمونيوم ستركتم و ١.٦ (٢.٥٨٪) ستمفيليام و ١.٣ (٢.٠١٪) ميكور و ١.٢ (١.٩٤٪) كل من أريوبازيديوم وباسيلوممايسز و ٩ (١.٤٥٪) كل من سكوبيلولاريوسس وترايكوديرما و ٨ (١.٢٩٪) كل من رايوس و بوتريتس و ٧ (١.١٣٪) ثامتيدوم، كما أسفر التصنيف التفصيلى لعترات أسبيرجلس التى تم عزلها من جميع الأسطح المختبرة وعددها ١٠.٤ عترة (١٠٠٪) عن وجود ٤.٢ (٤.٣٨٪) أسبيرجلس نيجر و ٢.٣ (٢.٢٢٪) أسبيرجلس فلافس و ٩ (٨.٦٥٪) أسبيرجلس فيوميجاتس و ٧ (٦.٧٣٪) كل من أسبيرجلس أمستيلودامى وأسبيرجلس كانديس و ٥ (٤.٨١٪) كل من أسبيرجلس سيدواى وأسبيرجلس أوكريشياس و ٢ (١.٩٢٪) كل من أسبيرجلس كلافتس وأسبيرجلس نيديولانز بالإضافة إلى ١ (٠.٩٦٪) كل من أسبيرجلس شيفاليرى وأسبيرجلس وتياى.